arXiv:1610.01184v1 [math.DG] 16 Sep 2016

NAMBU STRUCTURE ON A LIE ALGEBROID AND ITS MODULAR CLASS

APURBA DAS, SHILPA GONDHALI, AND GOUTAM MUKHERJEE

Abstract. Lie algebroid with Nambu structure [10], is a generalization of the notion of Lie algebroid with Poisson structure and Nambu-Poisson manifold. In this paper, we study a variant of the notion of a Lie algebroid with Nambu structure of order > 2 generalizing the notion of a Nambu-Poisson manifold. Our definition coincides with the earlier one when the Nambu structure is locally decomposable. We show that there is a Leibniz algberoid associated to any Lie algebroid with Nambu structure and introduce its modular class as a first cohomology class of the corresponding Leibniz algebra with trivial coefficients. It turns out that modular classes of a large class of Lie algebroids with Nambu structures, as introduced in this paper, are closely related to Evens-Lu-Weinstein modular classes of Lie algebroids [2].

1. Introduction

In ([11]), Weinstein introduced the notion of modular class of a Poisson manifold. Given a volume form of an oriented Poisson manifold, the map which associates to a function the divergence of the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field, is called the modular vector field. It turns out that it is a 1-cocyle in the Poisson cohomology of M, and its class, called the modular class, is independent of the chosen volume form. The notion of modular class of Poisson manifold was extended to the more general context called Lie algebroid with Poisson structure [9]. Let A be a Lie algebroid, then a 2-multisection of A is called a Poisson structure on A, if its Gerstenhaber bracket with itself vanishes. In [8], Kosmann-Schwarzbach showed that the existence of modular field is due to the existence of two different generating operators for a BV algebra.

Nambu-Poisson manifolds are natural generalization of Poisson manifolds. A smooth manifold equipped with a skew-symmetric n-bracket on the function algebra satisfying derivation property and the so called Fundamental identity (generalization of Jacobi identity), is called a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n. For a Nambu-Poisson manifold M of order n, the bundle $\wedge^{n-1}T^*M$ is a Leibniz algebroid [4], a non skew-symmetric analogues of Lie algebroid. Thus the space $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ carries a Leibniz algebra structure. In [4], the authors introduced the notion of modular class of a Nambu-Poisson manifold generalizing the work of Weinstein [11] for Poisson case. This class appears as an element of the first

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17A32, 17A42.; Secondary: 53C15, 53D17.
Key words and phrases. Lie algebroid, Nambu-Poisson manifold, Leibniz algebra cohomology, Leibniz algebroid.

cohomology group of the Leibniz algebra cohomology of $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ with representation in $C^\infty(M)$.

The notion of Lie algebroid with Nambu structure was introduced in [10]. In this paper, we study a variant [3] of the notion of a Lie algebroid with Nambu structure of order > 2. Roughly speaking, given a Lie algebroid A, an n-multisection $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ (rank $A \geq n > 2$) is called a Nambu structure on A of order n, if it satisfy certain condition (Definition 3.1). When the order of a Nambu structure is equal to the rank of A, we call it a maximal Nambu structure. It is known that, Nambu tensor of a Nambu-Poisson manifold order > 2 is locally decomposable ([1], [4]). We show that in the case of Lie algebroid with Nambu structure, the same result holds true provided the space of sections ΓA^* is locally generated by elements of the form $d_A f$, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where d_A is the differential of the cochain complex associated to the Lie algebroid A with trivial coefficients. Our definition coincides with the one defined in [10] when the Nambu structure is locally decomposable.

Next, we show that, given a Lie algebroid A with a Nambu structure of order n, the bundle $\wedge^{n-1}A^*$ has a Leibniz algebroid structure. It turns out that when the Nambu structure is maximal the associated Leibniz algebroid is a Lie algebroid. For an oriented Lie algebroid with a chosen nowhere zero section $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} A^*)$, we define a notion of modular tensor field M^μ associated to μ satisfying certain relation (Definition 4.1). It turns out, that the modular tensor field defines a 1-cocycle in the Leibniz algebra cohomology of $\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$. We show that the corresponding cohomology class does not depend on the chosen volume form. We call this cohomology class the *modular class* of the Lie algebroid with Nambu structure (Definition 4.9).

In [2], the authors introduced a notion of characteristic class of a Lie algebroid A with a representation on a line bundle L and used it to define the notion of modular class of a Lie algebroid. We show that for a large class of Lie algebroids with Nambu structures, the notion of modular class as introduced in this paper is closely related to the notion of modular class introduced in [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and results. In Section 3, we describe an alternative approach to introduce the notion of Lie algebroid with Nambu structure and provide a collection of examples. We also discuss decomposability of Nambu structure and compare our definition with the one introduced in [10]. We prove that there is a Leibniz algebroid associated to a given Lie algebroid with Nambu structure. In Section 4, we introduce modular tensor field, study its properties and define modular class of a Lie algebroid with Nambu structure. We also compute modular tensor field and modular class for few examples. Finally, in Section 5, for orientable Lie algebroids with maximal Nambu structures, we compare our definition of modular class and the one introduced in [2] for Lie algebroids and show that they are closely related.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions, fix notations which we use throughout the paper and formulate a useful result, known for manifolds [5], in the context of oriented Lie algebroid.

The notion of Nambu Poisson manifold is a generalization of Poisson manifold of higher order and is defined as follows ([1], [4]).

2.1. **Definition.** Let M be a smooth manifold. A *Nambu-Poisson structure of order n* on M is a skew-symmetric n-multilinear mapping

$$\{\ ,\dots,\ \} : C^\infty(M) \times \dots \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) Leibniz rule:
$\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},fg\} = f\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g\} + \{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},f\}g$

(2) Fundamental identity:
$\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},\{g_1,\dots,g_n\}\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{g_1,\dots,g_{i-1},\{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g_i\},\dots,g_n\}$

for all $f_i, g_j, f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. A manifold together with Nambu-Poisson structure as above is called a *Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n.*

A Nambu-Poisson manifold of order 2 is nothing but a Poisson manifold [12]. Since the bracket above is skew-symmetric and satisfies Leibniz rule, there exists an n-vector field $\Lambda \in \Gamma\wedge^n TM$, such that

$$\{f_1,\dots,f_n\} = \Lambda(df_1,\dots,df_n)$$

for all $f_1,\dots,f_n \in C^\infty(M)$. Given any $(n-1)$ functions $f_1,\dots,f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, the *Hamiltonian vector field* associated to these functions, denoted by $X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}$, is defined by

$$X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}} = \Lambda^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{n-1}),$$

where

$$\Lambda^\sharp : \wedge^{n-1}T^*M \to TM,\ \omega \mapsto \iota_\omega\Lambda$$

is the bundle map induced by Λ, ι being the contraction operator. In other words, the Hamiltonian is defined by

$$X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}(g) = \{f_1,\dots,f_{n-1},g\},\ \text{for } g \in C^\infty(M).$$

In terms of Lie derivative operator $\mathcal{L}$, the Fundamental identity can also be rephrased as

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1\dots f_{n-1}}}\Lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

for all $f_1,\dots,f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, which shows that every Hamiltonian vector field preserves the Nambu tensor. A Nambu Poisson manifold is often denoted by $(M,\{\ ,\dots,\ \})$ or simply by (M,Λ) .

Recall that the notion of Lie algebroid is a generalization of tangent bundles of manifolds as well as Lie algebras [7].

2.2. **Definition.** A *Lie algebroid* $(A, [\ ,\ ], \rho)$ over a smooth manifold M is a smooth vector bundle A over M together with a Lie algebra structure $[\ ,\ ]$ on the space ΓA of the smooth sections of A and a bundle map $\rho : A \to TM$, called the *anchor*, such that

(1) $[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (\rho(X)f)Y$,
(2) $\rho([X, Y]) = [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]$,

for all $X,\ Y \in \Gamma A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Any Lie algebra can be considered as a Lie algebroid over a point. Tangent bundle of a smooth manifold is a Lie algebroid with the usual Lie bracket of vector fields. For any Poisson manifold, its cotangent bundle carries a natural Lie algebroid structure ([7], [12]).

Given a Lie algebroid $(A, [\ ,\ ], \rho)$, the exterior algebra of multisections of A, $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$, together with the Schouten bracket of multisections of A, forms a Gerstenhaber (or Schouten) algebra [7]. Moreover $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ together with the Lie algebroid differential d_A forms a differential graded algebra, where the differential d_A has the explicit formula similar to the de Rham differential formula

$$\begin{aligned}(d_A\alpha)(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n) = &\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\rho(X_i)\alpha(X_0, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_n)\\ &+ \sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\alpha([X_i, X_j], X_0, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_n)\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A^*)$ and $X_0, \ldots, X_n \in \Gamma(A)$. For $A = TM$, the usual tangent bundle Lie algebroid, the differential d_A turns out to be the exterior differential d of the de Rham complex of M. Lie derivative and contraction operators are defined in the Lie algebroid context and Cartan formula holds [7].

Next, we adopt a result proved in [5] in the context of oriented Lie algebroid which will be used in the subsequent sections.

Let A be a Lie algebroid of rank m. Assume that A is oriented as a vector bundle. Let $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing element giving the orientation. Then for each $0 \leq k \leq m$, μ defines a vector bundle isomorphism

$$*_\mu : \wedge^k A \to \wedge^{m-k} A^*,$$

by

$$*_\mu(P) = \iota_P\mu$$

for $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$, $k \geq 1$, and $*_\mu(f) = f\mu$, when $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Define an operator ∂_μ by

$$\partial_\mu := {*_\mu}^{-1} \circ d_A \circ *_\mu : \Gamma(\wedge^k A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A).$$

The operator ∂_μ satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{L}_X\mu = \partial_\mu(X)\mu,\ \ X \in \Gamma A$$

and hence may be called the divergence with respect to μ. Therefore, for $X \in \Gamma A$, we may write $\partial_\mu(X) = \ \ \mathrm{div}_\mu X$.

Clearly ${\partial_\mu}^2 = 0$. The homology of the complex $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \partial_\mu)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^\mu_\bullet(A)$.

2.3. **Lemma.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid and $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing element. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_X(*_\mu P) = *_\mu(\mathcal{L}_X P) + (div_\mu X) *_\mu P$$

for any $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$ and $X \in \Gamma A$.

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(*_\mu f) = \mathcal{L}_X(f\mu) =& f\mathcal{L}_X(\mu) + (\rho(X)f)\mu \\ =& f(\mathrm{div}_\mu X)\mu + *_\mu(\rho(X)f) \\ =& (\mathrm{div}_\mu X) *_\mu (f) + *_\mu(\mathcal{L}_X f).\end{aligned}$$

Let $P \in \Gamma A$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(*_\mu P) = \mathcal{L}_X \iota_P \mu =& \mathcal{L}_X \iota_P \mu - \iota_P \mathcal{L}_X \mu + \iota_P \mathcal{L}_X \mu \\ =& \iota_{[X,P]}\mu + \iota_P(\mathrm{div}_\mu X)\mu \\ =& \iota_{\mathcal{L}_X P}\mu + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X)\iota_P \mu \\ =& *_\mu (\mathcal{L}_X P) + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X) *_\mu (P).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result is true for smooth functions on M and sections of A. Since both sides satisfy derivation property with respect to P, therefore they depend only on the local behavior of P. By induction, it follows that the result is true for decomposable multisections. Hence the result. □

Next proposition will be useful throughout the paper.

2.4. **Proposition.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid and $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A^*)$ a nowhere vanishing section inducing the orientation. Then for any $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A^*)$, $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\iota_\alpha \partial_\mu(P) = div_\mu(\iota_\alpha P) + (-1)^k \iota_{d_A \alpha} P$$

Proof. We use induction to prove the result.

For $X \in \Gamma A$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{fX}\mu = f\mathcal{L}_X\mu + \rho(X)(f)\mu.$$

In other words,

$$\partial_\mu(fX)\mu = f\partial_\mu(X)\mu + \rho(X)(f)\mu.$$

Therefore,

$$f\partial_\mu(X) = \partial_\mu(fX) - \rho(X)(f),$$

or,

$$\iota_f \partial_\mu(X) = \mathrm{div}_\mu(fX) - \iota_{d_A f} X,$$

proving the result for $k = 1$. Assume that the result is true for $1 \leq j \leq (k-1)$. We claim that the result holds for any decomposable k-multisection.

Let $P = X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_k$ be a decomposable k-multisection. Let $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{k-2}A^*)$. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota_\beta \partial_\mu(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1}) \\
&= \mathrm{div}_\mu\big(\iota_\beta(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1})\big) + (-1)^{k-1}\iota_{d_A\beta}(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-1+i}\mathrm{div}_\mu\big(\beta(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1})X_i\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad + (-1)^{k-1}d_A\beta(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-1+i}\mathrm{div}_\mu\big(\beta(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1})X_i\big) \\
&+ (-1)^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{i-1}\rho(X_i)\beta(X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_{k-1}) \\
&+ (-1)^{k-1}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j}\beta([X_i, X_j], X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_{k-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{k-1+i}\beta(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1})\mathrm{div}_\mu(X_i) \\
&+ (-1)^{k-1}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j}\beta([X_i, X_j], X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_{k-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathrm{div}_\mu(fX) = f\mathrm{div}_\mu(X) + \rho(X)f$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1}) =& (-1)^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\big(\mathrm{div}_\mu(X_i)\big)X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{k-1} \\
&+ (-1)^{k-1}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j}[X_i, X_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \ldots \hat{X}_i \ldots \hat{X}_j \ldots \wedge X_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_A(*_\mu(P)) = d_A(\iota_{X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_k}\mu)\\
=&d_A(\iota_{X_k}\iota_{X_{k-1}}\ldots\iota_{X_1}\mu)\\
=&d_A(\iota_{X_k} *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}))\\
=&\mathcal{L}_{X_k} *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}) - \iota_{X_k} d_A *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1})\\
=&\mathcal{L}_{X_k} *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}) - \iota_{X_k} *_\mu (\partial_\mu(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}))\\
=&*_\mu \mathcal{L}_{X_k}(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}) + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X_k) *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1})\\
&- \iota_{X_k} *_\mu (\partial_\mu(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}))\\
=&*_\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge[X_k,X_i]\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}\right) + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X_k) *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1})\\
&- \iota_{X_k} *_\mu (\partial_\mu(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}))\\
=&*_\mu (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^i[X_i,X_k]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}) + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X_k) *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1})\\
&+ (-1)^k\iota_{X_k} *_\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(\mathrm{div}_\mu X_i)X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j}[X_i,X_j]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\hat{X}_i\ldots\hat{X}_j\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}\right)\\
=&*_\mu (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(-1)^i[X_i,X_k]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}) + (\mathrm{div}_\mu X_k) *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1})\\
&+ (-1)^k\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(\mathrm{div}_\mu X_i) *_\mu (X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}\wedge X_k)\\
&+ (-1)^k\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j} *_\mu ([X_i,X_j]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\hat{X}_i\ldots\hat{X}_j\ldots\wedge X_{k-1}\wedge X_k).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^k d_A(*_\mu(P)) = *_\mu \Bigg(&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\mathrm{div}_\mu X_i)(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_k)\\
&+\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant k-1}(-1)^{i+j}[X_i,X_j]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\hat{X}_i\ldots\hat{X}_j\ldots\wedge X_k\Bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^k\partial_\mu(P) =&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\mathrm{div}_\mu X_i)(X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\hat{X}_i\wedge\ldots\wedge X_k)\\
&+\sum_{1\leq i\leqslant j\leq k-1}(-1)^{i+j}[X_i,X_j]\wedge X_1\wedge\ldots\hat{X}_i\ldots\hat{X}_j\ldots\wedge X_k.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for any $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1}A^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^k \mathrm{div}_\mu(\iota_\alpha P) + \iota_{d_A\alpha} P \\
=&(-1)^k \mathrm{div}_\mu(\iota_\alpha(X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_k)) + d_A\alpha(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \\
=&(-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+k} \mathrm{div}_\mu(\alpha(X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_k)X_i) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(X_i)\alpha(X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_k) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k-1} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([X_i, X_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \ldots \hat{X}_i \ldots \hat{X}_j \ldots \wedge X_k) \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i} \alpha(X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, X_k)(\mathrm{div}_\mu X_i) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k-1} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([X_i, X_j], X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_i, \ldots, \hat{X}_j, \ldots, X_k) \\
=&(-1)^k \iota_\alpha \partial_\mu(P),
\end{aligned}$$

as $\mathrm{div}_\mu(fX) = f\mathrm{div}_\mu(X) + \rho(X)f$. Thus, the result holds for decomposable k-multisections. As the expressions on both sides of the equality depend only on the local behavior of P, it follows that the result holds for any multisection. □

3. Lie algebroid with Nambu structure

In this section, we introduce the notion of Lie algebroid with Nambu structure of higher order and discuss properties and examples of such structure.

3.1. **Definition.** Let A be a Lie algebroid. Then an n-multisection ($3 \leqslant n \leqslant$ rank A) $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ is called a *Nambu structure of order n* on A, if

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi = (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Pi \tag{2}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$, where $\Pi^\sharp : \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*) \to \Gamma A$ is the induced bundle map. In this case, the Lie algebroid A with Nambu structure Π is denoted by the pair (A, Π). A Nambu structure Π on A is called *maximal* if order of Π is equal to the rank of A.

3.2. **Example.** (1) Let $(M, \{\ ,\ldots,\ \})$ be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n ($n \geqslant 3$) with associated Nambu-Poisson tensor $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^n TM)$. If $\Lambda(x) = 0$, for some $x \in M$, then both sides of the Equation (2) becomes zero at x. Now if $\Lambda(x) \neq 0$, then there exist a local chart of M around x with coordinates $x_1, \cdots, x_m$, m being the dimension of M, in which Λ is of the form

$$\Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

([1], [4]). Then a direct calculation shows that the Equation (2) also holds at x. Therefore, Λ defines a Nambu structure on the tangent Lie algebroid TM. Conversely, any n-vector field $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^n TM)$ which satisfies the Equation (2), is certainly

a Nambu-Poisson tensor. This follows by taking $\alpha = df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{n-1}$ in the Equation (2) and compare it with the Equation (1). Thus Lie algebroid with Nambu structure is a natural generalization of a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n ($n \geqslant 3$).

(2) Let A be a Lie algebroid over M and $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \Gamma A$ such that $[X_i, X_j] = 0$, for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the n-multisection $\Pi = X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n$ defines a Nambu structure of order n.

The following result shows that for an orientable Lie algebroid, each choice of a volume element gives rise to a Nambu structure.

3.3. **Proposition.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid of rank m ($m \geqslant 3$) and $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing section representing the orientation of A. Define an m-multisection $\Pi_\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A)$ by*

$$\Pi_\mu(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)\mu = \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_m$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \Gamma A^*$. Then Π_μ defines a maximal Nambu structure on the Lie algebroid A.

Proof. We first prove that the function $\langle \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle$ is identically 1 on M. Now for each $x \in M$, there exist local sections $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ of the bundle A^* around x such that

$$\mu(x) = \alpha_1(x) \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_m(x)$$

Now $\langle \Pi_\mu(x), \mu(x) \rangle \mu(x) = \langle \Pi_\mu(x), \alpha_1(x) \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_m(x) \rangle \mu(x) = \alpha_1(x) \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_m(x) = \mu(x)$, from the definition of Π_μ. Since $\mu(x) \neq 0$, we have $\langle \Pi_\mu(x), \mu(x) \rangle = 1$, which shows that $\langle \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle = 1$.

Hence we have $\partial_\mu(\Pi_\mu) = *_\mu^{-1} \circ d_A \circ *_\mu(\Pi_\mu) = 0$. Therefore from the Proposition 2.4, we get

$$\mathrm{div}_\mu(\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha) + (-1)^m \iota_{d_A \alpha} \Pi_\mu = 0.$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \mu = (-1)^{m-1} (\iota_{d_A \alpha} \Pi_\mu) \mu \tag{3}$$

Now from the property of Lie derivative, we have

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \langle \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle + \langle \Pi_\mu, \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \mu \rangle.$$

Therefore, using the Equation (3), we get

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle = (-1)^m (\iota_{d_A \alpha} \Pi_\mu) \langle \Pi_\mu, \mu \rangle = (-1)^m (\iota_{d_A \alpha} \Pi_\mu)$$

Note that $\Pi_\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\mathrm{top}} A)$ is nowhere vanishing, hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp \alpha} \Pi_\mu = (-1)^m (\iota_{d_A \alpha} \Pi_\mu) \Pi_\mu$$

Thus, Π_μ is a Nambu-structure of order m and hence a maximal Nambu structure. □

3.4. *Remark.* Let $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A)$ be an arbitrary m-multisection of A. Then there exists a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\Pi = f\Pi_\mu$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi = \mathcal{L}_{f\Pi_\mu^\sharp\alpha} f\Pi_\mu = f^2\mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp\alpha}\Pi_\mu - f(\rho\Pi_\mu^\sharp(\alpha)f)\Pi_\mu + f(\rho\Pi_\mu^\sharp(\alpha)f)\Pi_\mu = f^2\mathcal{L}_{\Pi_\mu^\sharp\alpha}\Pi_\mu.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi = f^2(-1)^m(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi_\mu)\Pi_\mu = (-1)^m(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Pi.$$

Hence, Π defines a (maximal) Nambu structure of order m. In other words, any m-multisection defines a Nambu structure. We write $\Pi_f = \Pi$ to understand its relation with Π_μ.

3.5. **Example.** (Subordinate Nambu structure) Let A be a Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ of order n ($n > 3$). Let k be a positive integer such that $n - k \geq 3$. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma A^*$ be such that $d_A\alpha_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Consider the $(n-k)$-multisection $\widetilde{\Pi} \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k} A)$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\Pi} = \iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_k$. Then the induced bundle maps

$$\widetilde{\Pi}^\sharp : \Gamma\wedge^{n-k-1}A^* \to \Gamma A$$

is given by

$$\widetilde{\Pi}^\sharp(\beta) = \iota_{\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta}\Pi = \Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha} \wedge \beta)$$

for all $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k-1}A^*)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\Pi}^\sharp(\beta)}\widetilde{\Pi} =& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi \\
=& \iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\Pi + \iota_{(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\bar{\alpha})}\Pi \\
=& (-1)^n\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\big(\iota_{d_A(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\Pi\big)\Pi + \iota_{(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\bar{\alpha})}\Pi \\
=& (-1)^n\big(\iota_{d_A\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta}\Pi\big)\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi + (-1)^{n-k}\big(\iota_{\bar{\alpha}\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi\big)\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi + \iota_{(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar{\alpha}\wedge\beta)}\bar{\alpha})}\Pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_k$ is d_A-closed as each α_i is d_A-closed. Therefore, the first term on the right hand side of the above equality vanishes, while the last term also vanishes by using the Cartan formula and the property of the contraction operator. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\Pi}^\sharp(\beta)}\widetilde{\Pi} = (-1)^{n-k}\big(\iota_{\bar{\alpha}\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi\big)\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi = (-1)^{n-k}\big(\iota_{d_A\beta}\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi\big)\iota_{\bar{\alpha}}\Pi = (-1)^{n-k}(\iota_{d_A\beta}\widetilde{\Pi})\widetilde{\Pi}.$$

Thus, $\widetilde{\Pi}$ is a Nambu structure of order $n - k \geqslant 3$. We call these Nambu structures *subordinate* Nambu structures of Π.

3.6. **Definition.** A Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ ($n \geqslant 3$) is called locally decomposable if at each point $x \in M$, either $\Pi(x) = 0$ or there exist a neighborhood U of x such that

$$\Pi|_U = X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n$$

for some local sections X_i of the bundle A defined on U.

3.7. *Remark.* In [10], the notion of Nambu structure on a Lie algebroid was introduced following a different approach. However, our definition of Nambu structure on a Lie algebroid and that of [10] are equivalent if the Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ $(n \geq 3)$ is locally decomposable.

It is known that, Nambu tensor of a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order > 2 is locally decomposable ([1],[4]). The following result provides a sufficient condition for a Nambu structure on a Lie algebroid to be locally decomposable.

3.8. **Proposition.** *Let (A, Π) be a Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ of order $n \geqslant 3$. If ΓA^* is locally generated by elements of the form $d_A f$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then the Nambu tensor Π is locally decomposable.*

Proof. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-2} A^*)$ be arbitrary. Take $\alpha = f d_A f \wedge \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1} A^*)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp \alpha}\Pi =& \mathcal{L}_{f\Pi^\sharp(d_A f \wedge \beta)}\Pi \\
=& f\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(d_A f\wedge\beta)}\Pi - \Pi^\sharp(d_A f \wedge \beta) \wedge (\iota_{d_A f}\Pi) \\
=& (-1)^n f\big(\iota_{d_A(d_A f\wedge\beta)}\Pi\big)\Pi - \iota_{d_A f\wedge\beta}\Pi \wedge (\iota_{d_A f}\Pi) \\
=& (-1)^n f(\iota_{d_A f\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi)\Pi - (\iota_\beta \iota_{d_A f}\Pi) \wedge (\iota_{d_A f}\Pi)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(-1)^n\big(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi\big)\Pi = (-1)^n\big(\iota_{f d_A f\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi\big)\Pi = (-1)^n f\big(\iota_{d_A f\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi\big)\Pi$$

Since Π is a Nambu structure of order n, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi = (-1)^n\big(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi\big)\Pi$$

which shows that,

$$(\iota_\beta \iota_{d_A f}\Pi) \wedge (\iota_{d_A f}\Pi) = 0$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\beta \in \Gamma\wedge^{n-2} A^*$. This implies that $\iota_{d_A f}\Pi$ is locally decomposable. Now if ΓA^* is locally generated by elements of the form $d_A f$, then the above identity implies that

$$\Pi^\sharp(\alpha) \wedge (\iota_\eta \Pi) = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma\wedge^{n-1} A^*$ and $\eta \in \Gamma A^*$. This shows that Π is locally decomposable. □

3.9. *Remark.* Any regular foliation $\mathcal{F}$ can be considered as a Lie algebroid with inclusion map as anchor map. Then any Nambu structure on $\mathcal{F}$ is locally decomposable.

The next proposition shows that, if we have a Nambu structure on a Lie algebroid, then the base manifold carries a natural Nambu-Poisson structure.

3.10. **Proposition.** *Let (A, Π) be a Lie algebroid over M with a Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ of order n, $n \geqslant 3$. Then the base M is equipped with an induced Nambu-Poisson structure of order n.*

Proof. Define a n-bracket on $C^\infty(M)$ by

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}_\Pi = \Pi(d_A f_1, \dots, d_A f_n)$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_n, \in C^\infty(M)$. The bracket is obviously skew-symmetric and satisfies derivation property. Next observe that for $g \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})} g =& \rho\Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})(g)\\ =& \langle \Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1}), d_A g\rangle\\ =& \Pi(d_A f_1, \dots, d_A f_{n-1}, d_A g)\\ =& \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g\}_\Pi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}_\Pi\}_\Pi\\ =&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})} \Pi(d_A g_1, \dots, d_A g_n)\\ =&(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})} \Pi)(d_A g_1, \dots, d_A g_n)\\ &\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(d_A g_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(d_A f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_A f_{n-1})} d_A g_i, \dots, d_A g_n)\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^n \Pi(d_A g_1, \dots, d_A\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}_\Pi, \dots, d_A g_n).\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the first term on the right hand side of the above equality vanishes since Π is a Nambu structure. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}_\Pi\}_\Pi\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^n \{g_1, \dots, \{f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, g_i\}_\Pi, \dots, g_n\}_\Pi,\end{aligned}$$

hence the bracket $\{\ , \dots, \ \}_\Pi$ satisfies the fundamental identity, proving that $(M, \{\ , \dots, \ \}_\Pi)$ is a Nambu-Poisson manifold. □

3.11. *Remark.* The Nambu-Poisson tensor $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^n TM)$ corresponding to the bracket $\{\ , \dots, \ \}_\Pi$ is given by

$$\Lambda(df_1, \dots, df_n) = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}_\Pi = \Pi(d_A f_1, \dots, d_A f_n) = \Pi(\rho^* df_1, \dots, \rho^* df_n).$$

Thus, $\Lambda = \wedge^n \rho \circ \Pi$.

Next we recall the definition of Leibniz algebroid ([4]) which is a non skew-symmetric analogues of Lie algebroid.

3.12. **Definition.** A *(left) Leibniz algebroid* over M is a vector bundle A over M together with a bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ on the space ΓA of smooth sections of A and a bundle map $\rho : A \to TM$, called the *anchor* such that

(i) the bracket satisfies (left) Leibniz identity:

$$[X,[Y,Z]] = [[X,Y],Z] + [Y,[X,Z]]$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma A$.
(ii) the induced map $\rho : \Gamma A \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ preserves the bracket, and
(iii) for any $X, Y \in \Gamma A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the following relation hold

$$[X, fY] = f[X,Y] + (\rho(X)f)Y.$$

Thus the space ΓA together with the bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ forms a (left) Leibniz algebra [6]. Moreover from the condition (ii) of the above definition, the Leibniz algebra $(\Gamma A, [\ ,\ ])$ has a representation on $C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\Gamma A \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M),\ (X, f) \mapsto \rho(X)(f)$$

for $X \in \Gamma A$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, called the *trivial representation.*

Definition of morphism between Leibniz algebroids over the same base is similar to that of Lie algebroids.

It is known that [4], if M is a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n, then $\wedge^{n-1}T^*M$ carries a Leibniz algebroid structure. For Lie algebroid with Nambu structure we have a similar result as proved below.

3.13. **Proposition.** *Let (A, Π) be a Lie algebroid over M with a Nambu structure of order n, $n \geqslant 3$. Then the triple $(\wedge^{n-1}A^*, [\ ,\ ], \rho \circ \Pi^\sharp)$ is a Leibniz algebroid over M, where the bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ is defined by*

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$.

Proof. The bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ defined above clearly satisfies derivation property

$$[\alpha, f\beta] = f[\alpha,\beta] + (\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)f)\beta.$$

The rest of the proof is divided into the following steps:
Step 1: We claim that

$$[\Pi^\sharp\alpha, \Pi^\sharp\beta] = \Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]. \tag{4}$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Pi^\sharp\alpha, \Pi^\sharp\beta] =& \iota_\beta[\Pi^\sharp\alpha, \Pi] + \iota_{\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta}\Pi \\
=& \iota_\beta\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi + \iota_{\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta}\Pi \\
=& (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\iota_\beta\Pi + \iota_{\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta}\Pi \\
=& \iota_{[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi = \Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta].
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows that $\rho\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta] = [\rho\Pi^\sharp\alpha, \rho\Pi^\sharp\beta]$.
Step 2: Next we prove that

$$\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi = \rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi) - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi). \tag{5}$$

To see this, note that if $\Pi(x) = 0$, for $x \in M$, then both sides of the equation is zero at x, since $(\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha))_x = 0$. Otherwise, the result follows, because,

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\Pi =& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{[\Pi^\sharp\alpha,\Pi^\sharp\beta]}\Pi \qquad \text{(using } \textbf{Step 1}\text{)} \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\Pi - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\big((-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\Pi\big) - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\big((-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Pi\big) \\
=& (-1)^n\Big[(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\Pi + \rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\Pi\Big] \\
& - (-1)^n\Big[(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\Pi + \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Pi\Big] \\
=& (-1)^n\Big[\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi) - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Big]\Pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: It remains to prove that the bracket satisfies the Leibniz identity. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\alpha,[\beta,\gamma]] - [\beta,[\alpha,\gamma]] \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}[\beta,\gamma] + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)[\beta,\gamma] - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}[\alpha,\gamma] - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)[\alpha,\gamma] \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\gamma + (-1)^n\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\gamma + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\gamma + (\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\gamma \\
& - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\gamma - (-1)^n\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\gamma - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\alpha}\gamma - (\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\gamma \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]}\gamma + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\gamma + (-1)^n\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\gamma + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\gamma \\
& - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\gamma - (-1)^n\rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\gamma - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\gamma \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]}\gamma + (-1)^n\Big[\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi) - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)\cdot(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\Big]\gamma \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]}\gamma + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\gamma \qquad \text{(using } \textbf{Step 2}\text{)} \\
=& [[\alpha,\beta],\gamma].
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.14. *Remark.* Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid over M of rank m and $\Pi \in \Gamma\wedge^m A$ be any m-multisection of A. Then by the Remark 3.4, Π is a Nambu structure on A of order m. If $\Pi \equiv 0$, then the Leibniz algebroid structure on $\wedge^{m-1}A^*$ is trivially a Lie algebroid, since the Leibniz bracket is identically zero in this case. If Π is non-null, then the next proposition shows that the induced Leibniz algebroid is also a Lie algebroid.

3.15. **Proposition.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid over M of rank m and Π be a non-null m-multisection of A. Then the Leibniz algebroid structure on $\wedge^{m-1}A^*$ is a Lie algebroid.*

Proof. Let $M' = \{x \in M | \Pi(x) \neq 0\}$. Then M' is an open subset of M. Consider the restriction of the Lie algebroid $A \to M$ to the open subset $M' \subseteq M$, which we denote by $A_{M'} \to M'$. Then Π induces a Nambu structure $\Pi_{M'}$ of order m on the Lie algebroid $A_{M'}$ which is nowhere vanishing. Therefore $\Pi_{M'}$ is given by a volume element in $\Gamma\wedge^m A^*_{M'}$, and

the induced homomorphism

$$\Pi^\sharp_{M'} : \Gamma(\wedge^{m-1}A^*_{M'}) \to \Gamma(A_{M'})$$

is an isomorphism.

To prove that the Leibniz algebroid structure on $\wedge^{m-1}A^*$ is a Lie algebroid, let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\wedge^{m-1}A^*$ and consider $\sigma = [\alpha, \beta] + [\beta, \alpha]$. Now,

$$\Pi^\sharp_{M'}(\sigma|_{M'}) = \Pi^\sharp(\sigma)|_{M'} = ([\Pi^\sharp\alpha, \Pi^\sharp\beta] + [\Pi^\sharp\beta, \Pi^\sharp\alpha])|_{M'} = 0.$$

Hence $\sigma|_{M'} = 0$. By continuity, σ is zero on the boundary of M'. It is easy to check that, σ is zero outside the closure of M'. Thus $\sigma \equiv 0$ and hence the bracket is skew-symmetric. □

3.16. **Corollary.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid of rank m, $m \geqslant 3$, and $\mu \in \Gamma\wedge^m A^*$ be a nowhere vanishing section. Then the Leibniz algebroid associated to the Nambu tensor Π_μ (cf. Proposition 3.3) is a Lie algebroid.*

3.17. **Proposition.** *Let $(A, [\ ,\ ], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over M and $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ be a Nambu structure of order n ($n \geq 3$). Then the map $\wedge^{n-1}\rho^* : \wedge^{n-1}T^*M \to \wedge^{n-1}A^*$ induced by the anchor map defines a morphism of Leibniz algebroids where M is considered as a Nambu-Poisson manifold with the Nambu tensor $\Lambda = \wedge^n\rho \circ \Pi$.*

Proof. As $\Lambda = \wedge^n\rho \circ \Pi$, we have $\Lambda^\sharp = \rho \circ \Pi^\sharp \circ \wedge^{n-1}\rho^*$. Therefore the given map commutes with the anchor maps. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$. Then it is straight forward to check that

(i) $\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\sharp\alpha}\beta) = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha))}\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta)$

(ii) $\iota_{d\alpha}\Lambda = \iota_{\wedge^n\rho^*(d\alpha)}\Pi = \iota_{d_A(\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha))}\Pi$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*[\alpha, \beta] &= \wedge^{n-1}\rho^*\big(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\sharp\alpha}\beta + (-1)^n(\iota_{d\alpha}\Lambda)\beta\big)\\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha))}\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta) + (-1)^n(\iota_{d\alpha}\Lambda)\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta)\\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha))}\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta) + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A(\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha))}\Pi)\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta)\\
&= [\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\alpha), \wedge^{n-1}\rho^*(\beta)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\wedge^{n-1}\rho^*$ is a Leibniz algebroid morphism. □

4. MODULAR CLASS

Let (A, Π) be a Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ of order n. Then we know from the Proposition 3.13, that the space $\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$ of sections of the bundle $\wedge^{n-1}A^*$ is a Leibniz algebra with bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ is given by

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$. In this section, we introduce a notion of modular class of (A, Π), which lies in the first cohomology group of the Leibniz algebra cohomology of $(\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*), [\ ,\ ])$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$.

4.1. **Definition.** Let (A, Π) be an oriented Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure of order n. Suppose $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}}A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing element representing the orientation.

The *modular tensor field* associated with μ is denoted by $M^\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A)$ and is defined by the following relation

$$(\iota_\alpha M^\mu)\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mu$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$.

4.2. *Remark.* Let M be a Nambu-Poisson manifold of order n with associated Nambu tensor Λ. Its modular class was introduced in [4] by first introducing an $(n-1)$-vector field on M, which arise from an $(n-1)$-multilinear mapping

$$C^\infty(M) \times \cdots \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M),$$

that is skew-symmetric and derivation in each argument with respect to product of functions. Note that we may view the (tangent) Lie algebroid TM equipped with the Nambu structure Λ (see Example 3.2 (3)). It is then natural to expect that our definition of modular tensor field of (TM, Λ) should be the same as the one defined in [4] for the Nambu-Poisson manifold M. It is indeed the case. To see this, suppose M is oriented and ν be a volume form on M. Then from the Definition 4.1, the modular tensor field $M^\nu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}TM)$ associated with ν is given by

$$(\iota_\alpha M^\nu)\nu = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\sharp\alpha}\nu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d\alpha}\Lambda)\nu$$

for all $\alpha \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$.
Let $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \in C^\infty(M)$ and take $\alpha = df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{n-1}$. Therefore the modular tensor field M^ν satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\sharp(df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{n-1})}\nu = (\iota_{df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{n-1}}M^\nu)\nu.$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{f_1\cdots f_{n-1}}}\nu = M^\nu(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1})\nu,$$

which shows that, for a Nambu-Poisson manifold M when considered as a tangent Lie algebroid TM with Nambu structure, the modular tensor field as defined in the Definition 4.1 coincides with that of [4] for Nambu-Poisson manifold M.

Next we study some properties of the modular tensor field $M^\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A)$ associated with $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}}A^*)$ of an orientable Lie algebroid equipped with a Nambu structure of order n.

4.3. **Proposition.** *The modular tensor field associated with μ is the divergence of Π with respect to μ. Explicitly, $M^\mu = \partial_\mu(\Pi)$.*

Proof. From the Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\iota_\alpha\partial_\mu(\Pi) = \text{div}_\mu(\Pi^\sharp\alpha) + (-1)^n\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$, which implies that

$$(\iota_\alpha\partial_\mu(\Pi))\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mu.$$

Hence the result. □

4.4. **Corollary.** *The modular tensor field associated with μ satisfies $\partial_\mu(M^\mu) = 0$.*

4.5. **Corollary.** *The modular tensor field associated to μ satisfies*

$$\iota_{M^\mu}\mu = d_A(\iota_\Pi\mu), \quad \iota_{M^\mu}\mu = \partial_\Pi\mu,$$

where $\partial_\Pi = [d_A, \iota_\Pi]$.

Proof. Observe that

$$M^\mu = \partial_\mu(\Pi) = {*_\mu}^{-1} \circ d_A \circ *_\mu(\Pi) = {*_\mu}^{-1}(d_A\iota_\Pi\mu).$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\iota_{M^\mu}\mu = *_\mu(M^\mu) = d_A(\iota_\Pi\mu) = [d_A, \iota_\Pi]\mu.$$

□

Thus the modular tensor field vanishes if and only if $\iota_\Pi\mu$ is d_A-closed.

4.6. **Proposition.** *The modular tensor field M^μ associated with μ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{M^\mu}\mu = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}_P = [\iota_P, d_A]$ is the generalized Lie derivative with respect to $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$.*

Proof. This follows because

$$\mathcal{L}_{M^\mu}\mu = [\iota_{M^\mu}, d_A]\mu = (\iota_{M^\mu} \circ d_A - (-1)^{n-1} d_A \circ \iota_{M^\mu})\mu = 0.$$

□

Let (A, Π) be an oriented Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure of order n. Consider the associated Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma\wedge^{n-1}A^*, [\ ,\ ])$ with trivial representation on $C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*) \times C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M),\ (\alpha, f) \mapsto (\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha))f.$$

Let $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}}A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing element. Then the modular tensor field M^μ associated with μ defines a map (also denote by the same symbol)

$$M^\mu : \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*) \to C^\infty(M),\ \alpha \mapsto \iota_\alpha M^\mu$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*)$. Then we have the following result

4.7. **Proposition.** *The map $M^\mu : \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*) \to C^\infty(M)$ defines a 1-cocycle in the Leibniz algebra cohomology of $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*), [\ ,\ ])$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. From the definition of M^μ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota_{[\alpha,\beta]}M^\mu)\mu =&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp[\alpha,\beta]}\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\mu\\
=&\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi^\sharp\alpha,\Pi^\sharp\beta]}\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\mu\\
=&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\mu - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\mu\\
=&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\big[\iota_\beta M^\mu - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\big]\mu - \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\big[\iota_\alpha M^\mu - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\big]\mu\\
&+ (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\mu\\
=&\big[\iota_\beta M^\mu - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\big]\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu + \rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)(\iota_\beta M^\mu)\mu - (-1)^n\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)(\iota_{d_A\beta}\Pi)\mu\\
&- \big[\iota_\alpha M^\mu - (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\big]\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\beta}\mu - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)(\iota_\alpha M^\mu)\mu + (-1)^n\rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mu\\
&+ (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A[\alpha,\beta]}\Pi)\mu\\
=&\Big[\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)(\iota_\beta M^\mu) - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)(\iota_\alpha M^\mu)\Big]\mu
\end{aligned}$$

(in the last step we have used the Equation (5) in the proof of the Proposition 3.13). As μ is a nowhere vanishing section, we have

$$\iota_{[\alpha,\beta]}M^\mu = \rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)(\iota_\beta M^\mu) - \rho\Pi^\sharp(\beta)(\iota_\alpha M^\mu).$$

It follows that the map M^μ as defined above is a 1-cocycle in the Leibniz algebra cohomology of $\mathcal{A} = (\Gamma(\wedge^{n-1}A^*), [\ ,\ ])$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$. □

4.8. **Proposition.** *The cohomology class $[M^\mu] \in \mathcal{H}^1_{Leib}(\mathcal{A})$ does not depend on the chosen nowhere zero section $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top}A^*)$.*

Proof. Let $\mu' \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}}A^*)$ be another nowhere zero element. Then there exist a nowhere vanishing function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\mu' = f\mu$. We may assume that $f > 0$ everywhere.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota_\alpha M^{\mu'})\mu' =&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu' + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)\mu'\\
=&f\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp\alpha}\mu + (\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha))(f)\mu + (-1)^n(\iota_{d_A\alpha}\Pi)f\mu\\
=&f(\iota_\alpha M^\mu)\mu + (\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha))(f)\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_\alpha M^{\mu'} =&\iota_\alpha M^\mu + \frac{1}{f}(\rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha))(f)\\
=&\iota_\alpha M^\mu + \rho\Pi^\sharp(\alpha)(\log f)\\
=&\iota_\alpha M^\mu + \iota_\alpha d_{\mathcal{A}}(\log f),
\end{aligned}$$

where $d_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the coboundary of the cochain complex of the Leibniz algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$. Hence we have

$$M^{\mu'} = M^\mu + d_{\mathcal{A}}(\log f).$$

Thus, the cohomology class of M^μ and $M^{\mu'}$ are the same in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A})$.

□

4.9. **Definition.** Let (A, Π) be an oriented Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure of order n. Then the cohomology class of the modular tensor fields lying in $\mathcal{H}^1_{Leib}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the *modular class* of (A, Π). A Lie algebroid with Nambu structure is called *unimodular* if its modular class vanishes.

4.10. *Remark.* To define modular class of a Lie algebroid equipped with a nambu structure we have assumed that the Lie algebroid is oriented. However, this assumption is not essential as one can use the notion of density to define modular tensor field and modular class of a Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure which is not oriented.

4.11. **Example.**

(1) Let (M, Λ) be an oriented Nambu-Poisson manifold. Then it follows from the Remark 4.2, that the modular class of M as a Nambu-Poisson manifold ([4]) is the same as the modular class of (TM, Λ) considered as a (tangent) Lie algebroid with Nambu structure.

(2) Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid of rank m and $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing section representing the orientation of A. Let $\Pi_\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A)$ be the Nambu structure of order m associated to the volume element $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^m A^*)$, as described in the Proposition 3.3. Note that by the Proposition 2.4, the modular tensor field M^μ associated with μ is identically zero, since

$$M^\mu = \partial_\mu(\Pi_\mu) = *_\mu^{-1} \circ d_A \circ *_\mu(\Pi_\mu) = 0.$$

Therefore, (A, Π_μ) is unimodular.

(3) Consider the Nambu structure $\Pi_f = f\Pi_\mu$ of order m as described in the Remark 3.4. Then the modular tensor field of Π_f associated to μ is given by $\Pi^\sharp_\mu(d_A f)$.

(4) (*Modular tensor fields of subordinate Nambu structures*): Let (A, Π) be a Lie algebroid with a Nambu structure Π of order n and $\widetilde{\Pi} = \iota_{\bar\alpha}\Pi$ be a subordinate Nambu structure of order $n - k \geqslant 3$ as described in the Example 3.5. Suppose $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^{\text{top}} A^*)$ be a nowhere vanishing section representing the orientation of A. Let $M^\mu_\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1} A)$ and $M^\mu_{\widetilde{\Pi}} \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k-1} A)$ denote the modular tensor fields of Π and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ respectively associated to μ. Then from the definition of $M^\mu_{\widetilde{\Pi}}$ it follows that for any $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k-1} A^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota_\beta M^\mu_{\widetilde{\Pi}})\mu =& \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{\Pi}^\sharp\beta}\mu + (-1)^{n-k}(\iota_{d_A\beta}\widetilde{\Pi})\mu \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar\alpha\wedge\beta)}\mu + (-1)^{n-k}(\iota_{d_A\beta}\iota_{\bar\alpha}\Pi)\mu \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar\alpha\wedge\beta)}\mu + (-1)^{n-k}(\iota_{\bar\alpha\wedge d_A\beta}\Pi)\mu \\
=& \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(\bar\alpha\wedge\beta)}\mu + (-1)^{n}(\iota_{d_A(\bar\alpha\wedge\beta)}\Pi)\mu \qquad (\text{since } d_A\bar\alpha = 0) \\
=& (\iota_{\bar\alpha\wedge\beta} M^\mu_\Pi)\mu = (\iota_\beta\iota_{\bar\alpha} M^\mu_\Pi)\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the modular tensor fields are related by $M^\mu_{\widetilde{\Pi}} = \iota_{\bar\alpha} M^\mu_\Pi$.

5. MAXIMAL NAMBU STRUCTURE AND MODULAR CLASS

In [2], the authors introduced a notion of characteristic class of a Lie algebroid A with a representation on a line bundle L and used it to define the notion of modular class of a Lie algebroid. The aim of this final section is to show that for a large class of Lie algebroids with Nambu structures, more specifically, for parallelizable manifolds with maximal Numbu structures, the notion of modular class as introduced in this paper is closely related to the notion of modular class introduced in [2]. First, we briefly recall the definition of the modular class of a Lie algebroid.

Let $\nabla : \Gamma A \times \Gamma L \longrightarrow \Gamma L$ be a representation of A on a line bundle L. If L is trivial and $s \in \Gamma L$ is a nowhere vanishing section of L, then define a section $\theta_s \in \Gamma A^*$ by

$$\nabla_a s = \langle \theta_s, a \rangle s$$

for any $a \in \Gamma A$. It turns out that θ_s is a 1-cocycle in the cochain complex defining the Lie algebroid cohomology of A with trivial representation. Moreover, the the cohomology class represented by θ_s is independent of the choice of s. This cohomology class is the characteristic class of A with representation on L. If L is non-trivial, then define the characteristic class as one half of that of the square $L^2 = L \otimes L$ of this bundle with associated representation. For any Lie algebroid A, there is an intrinsic representation of A on the line bundle $Q_A = \wedge^{\text{top}} A \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} T^*M$, which is given by

$$\nabla_a (X \otimes \nu) = [a, X] \otimes \nu + X \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)} \nu$$

where $X \in \Gamma \wedge^{\text{top}} A$, $\nu \in \Gamma \wedge^{\text{top}} T^*M$, $[\ ,\ ]$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket on the multisections of A and ρ denotes the anchor map of the Lie algebroid A. The characteristic class of the Lie algebroid A with the above representation on the bundle Q_A is defined as the modular class of A. Moreover, the authors [2] proved that the modular class of the cotangent Lie algebroid T^*P of a Poisson manifold P is twice the modular class of P as a Poisson manifold defined in [11].

Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid of rank m and Π be a non-null m-multisection of A. As we have noticed before, Π is a maximal Nambu structure on A and the associated Leibniz algebroid is a Lie algebroid. In the following proposition we show that the modular class of (A, Π) can be seen as the characteristic class of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} = \wedge^{m-1} A^*$ with a representation on the line bundle $\wedge^m A^*$, in the sense of [2].

5.1. **Proposition.** *Let A be an oriented Lie algebroid of rank m and Π be a non-null m-multisection of A. Then $\nabla : \Gamma\mathcal{A} \times \Gamma \wedge^m A^* \to \Gamma \wedge^m A^*$ given by*

$$\nabla_\alpha \lambda = \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp \alpha} \lambda + (-1)^m \langle \Pi, d_A \alpha \rangle \lambda$$

for $\alpha \in \Gamma\mathcal{A}$, $\lambda \in \Gamma \wedge^m A^*$, defines a representation of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} = \wedge^{m-1} A^*$ on the line bundle $\wedge^m A^*$. Moreover, the characteristic class of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} = \wedge^{m-1} A^*$ with respect to this representation is the modular class of (A, Π).

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that ∇ is a representation of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ on the line bundle $\wedge^m A^*$. The last part follows from the definition of modular class of (A, Π). □

Next, assume that M is an oriented manifold of dimension m and Π is a non-null m-multivector field on M. Then by the Remark 3.4, Π is a maximal Nambu structure on TM and from the Proposition 3.15, the associated Leibniz algebroid $\wedge^{m-1}T^*M$ is a Lie algebroid. Moreover, the modular class of (TM, Π), which is same as the modular class of the Nambu-Poisson manifold M, lies in the first Leibniz algebra cohomology of $\Gamma\wedge^{m-1}T^*M$. However, it is important to note that the map $\alpha \mapsto \iota_\alpha M^\mu$, defined by the modular tensor field representing this class, is actually $C^\infty(M)$-linear and hence, represents a class in the first Lie algebroid cohomology of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ with trivial coefficients. On the other hand, the modular class of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$, defined in [2] is also a first Lie algebroid cohomology class of $\mathcal{A}$. Thus it is natural to compare these two notions of modular class as elements of the first Lie algebroid cohomology of $\wedge^{m-1}T^*M$.

To compare these classes, it is enough to compare the 1-cocycles representing them.

Let M be a parallelizable manifold of dimension m. We choose globally defined 1-forms $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m$ of M which are linearly independent. Then

$$\eta = \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m$$

is a volume form on M and moreover

$$\bar{\eta}_i := \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta}_i \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$$

are basis of sections of the bundle $\wedge^{m-1}T^*M$, where the term $\widehat{\eta}_i$ in the above expression means that the term is missing from the expression.

5.2. **Lemma.** *For $f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1} \in C^\infty(M)$, suppose*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{m-1})}\eta_k = c_{k1}\eta_1 + \cdots + c_{km}\eta_m, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$$

where each coefficient c_{kj} is a smooth functions on M. Then

(1) the extended Gerstenhaber bracket of the Lie algebroid $\wedge^{m-1}A^*$ satisfies

$$[df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}, \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m] = (m-1)(c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm})\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m;$$

(2) the Lie derivative of the volume form satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{m-1})}\eta = (c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm})\eta.$$

Proof. (i) Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}, \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m] \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots [df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}, \bar{\eta}_i] \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \left(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{m-1})}\bar{\eta}_i\right) \wedge \ldots \bar{\eta}_m \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \Big(\sum_{k=1,k\neq i}^{m} \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \left(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1\wedge\ldots\wedge df_{m-1})}\eta_k\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m\Big) \wedge \ldots \bar{\eta}_m
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \big((\sum_{k=1, k\neq i}^{m} c_{kk}) \bar{\eta}_i \big) \wedge \ldots \bar{\eta}_m \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\sum_{k=1, k\neq i}^{m} c_{kk}) \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m \\
&= (m-1)(c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm}) \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m.
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Next, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta \\
=&\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} (\eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m) \\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \big(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta_i \big) \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m \\
=&(c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm}) \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_m = (c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm}) \eta.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Recall from [2] that the representation ∇ of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} = \wedge^{m-1} T^*M$ on the line bundle

$$Q_{\mathcal{A}} = \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} T^*M,$$

is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}} ((\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \eta) \\
=&[df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}, \bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m] \otimes \eta + (\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta \\
=&(m-1)(c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm})(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \eta + (\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta \\
=&(m-1)(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes (c_{11} + \cdots + c_{mm}) \eta + (\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta \\
=&m(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\Pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})} \eta \text{ (by the Lemma 5.2, (2))} \\
=&m(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes M^\eta (df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}) \eta \\
=&m M^\eta (df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}) (\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \eta.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from the definition of modular class of a Lie algebroid, we have

$$\theta_{(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \eta} (df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1}) = m M^\eta (df_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge df_{m-1})$$

Since both sides are $C^\infty(M)$-linear, therefore, the $(m-1)$-vector fields are related by

$$\theta_{(\bar{\eta}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\eta}_m) \otimes \eta} = m M^\eta.$$

Summarizing the above discussions we obtain the following result.

5.3. **Theorem.** *Let M be a parallelizable manifold of dimension m. Let Π be a non-null m-vector field on M. Then the modular class of the Lie algebroid $\wedge^{m-1} T^*M$ is m-times the modular class of the Nambu-Poisson manifold (M, Π), both being considered as elements of the first Lie algebroid cohomology of $\wedge^{m-1} T^*M$.*

5.4. **Corollary.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3, if* Π *is the* m*-vector field associated to a volume form, then the modular class of the Lie algebroid* $\wedge^{m-1}T^*M$ *is null.*

5.5. **Corollary.** *Let* M *be any closed oriented* 3*-manifold and* Π *be any* 3*-vector field on* M*. Then the modular class of the Nambu-Poisson manifold* (M, Π) *is*

$$\frac{1}{3} \times \text{ modular class of the Lie algebroid } \wedge^2 T^*M.$$

References

[1] J-P. Dufour, N.T. Zung: *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, Birkhauser Verlag, (2005).

[2] S. Evens, J-H. Lu, A. Weienstein: *Transverse measure, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **50**(2), 417-436, (1999).

[3] Y. Hagiwara: *Nambu-Jacobi structures and Jacobi algebroids*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, 6713-6725 (2004).

[4] R. Ibanez, M. de Leon, J.C. Marrero, E. Padron: *Leibniz algebroid associated with a Nambu-Poisson structure*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32**, 8129-8144 (1999).

[5] R. Ibanez, M. de Leon, B. Lopez, J.C. Marrero, E. Padron; *Duality and modular class of a Nambu-Poisson structure*, J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen. **34**, 3623-3650, (2001).

[6] J-L. Loday: *Cyclic homology*, A series of comprehensive studies in Mathematics, **301**, Springer (1998).

[7] K.C.H. Mackenzie: *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2005).

[8] Y. K-Schwarzbach: *Modular vector fields and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, in Poisson Geometry, Banach Center Publications, Vol. **51**, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warszaw, (2000).

[9] Y. K-Schwarzbach: *Poisson manifolds, Lie algebroids, Modular classes: a survey*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (**4**), 005, 30 pages (2008).

[10] A. Wade: *Nambu-Dirac structures for Lie algebroids*, Lett. in Math. Phys., **61**, 85-99, (2002).

[11] A. Weinstein: *The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold*, J. Geom. and Phys., **23**, 379-394, (1997).

[12] I. Vaisman: *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1994).

E-mail address: apurbadas348@gmail.com

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, West Bengal, India.

E-mail address: shilpa.s.gondhali@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Israel.

E-mail address: gmukherjee.isi@gmail.com

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata 700108, West Bengal, India.